# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's	or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.		International filing date (day/mo	onth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/IB2004/003515		25.10.2004	31.10.2003				
Internation	al Patent Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC					
D04H3/00, D04H3/08, D04H3/12							
Applicant  JOHNS MANVILLE EUROPE GMBH							
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. T	2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3. T	his report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprising:					
a	. (sent to the applicant an	d to the International Bureau) a tot	tal of <b>2</b> sheets, as follows:				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
	Box.	1D 1 1 1 6 6 1 1 1 1					
ь	(sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate ty	ype and number of electronic carrier(s))				
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables						
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. T	his report contains indications rela	ting to the following items:					
	Box No. I Basis of the report						
	Box No. II Priority						
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI Certain do	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII Certain de	Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of co	ompletion of this report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			ed officer				
Facsimile No.		Telephone	e No.				

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/003515

Box No.	I Basis of the report					
	th regard to the language, this report is based on the internat icated under this item.	ional application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise			
	This report is based on translations from the original lang which is the language of a translation furnished for the pu		,			
	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
	publication of the international application (Rule 12	4.4)				
	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 an	nd/or 55.3)				
rec	th regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, the eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 is report:					
	the international application as originally filed/furnished					
$\boxtimes$	the description:					
	pages 1,2,4-6		as originally filed/furnished			
	pages* 3,3a	received by this Authority on	08.07.2005 with letter of 07.07.2005			
	pages*		·			
$\boxtimes$	the claims:		-			
	nos. 1–14		as originally filed/furnished			
		as amandad (tagatha	as originally filed/furnished			
		<del>_</del>				
	nos.*	received by this Authority on				
<u> </u>	the drawings:					
	sheets		as originally filed/furnished			
	sheets*	_				
_	sheets*	received by this Authority on				
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supple	$a \ sequence \ listing \ and/or \ any \ related \ table(s)-see \ Supplemental \ Box \ Relating \ to \ Sequence \ Listing.$				
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	the description, pages					
	the claims, nos.					
	the drawings, sheets/figs					
	the sequence listing (specify):					
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amerithey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as					
	the description, pages					
	the claims, nos.					
	the drawings, sheets/figs					
	the sequence listing (specify):					
* If i	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):  tem 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "su	merseded "				

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/003515

ility;
YES
NO
YES
NO
YES
NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The claim does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because the subject matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined.

The claim attempts to define its subject matter in terms of the result to be achieved, and in doing so merely states the problem addressed, that of producing an interlining having a latent shrinkage force ranging from 2N/5cm to 20N/5cm. How is this result achieved?

The applicant misrepresents the actual technical features of claim 1. Features which relate to a subsequent use cannot identify the subject matter of claim 1.

Claim 1 relates to an interlining defined by the following features: the interlining comprises a consolidated polyester filament non-woven bonded with a binder and having a latent shrinkage force ranging from 2N/5cm to 20N/5cm.

It must be recognised that the phrase "which counteracts the drafting forces that arise during subsequent treatments carried out at higher temperatures when

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

producing composite materials" does not represent a technical feature.

The expression "suitable for" is optional and does not restrict the claim. Consequently, it cannot be considered as a restricting feature during examination.

The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel over the described prior art (PCT Rule 64.1-64.3).

Document US-A-4 429 002 (D1) (column 1, lines 49-53; column 2, lines 9-26) describes an interlining comprising a reinforcement-free, consolidated polyester filament non-woven bonded with a binder and having a latent shrinkage force. D1 describes a mixture of polybutylene terephthalate filaments and polyester filaments, which is likewise a polyester.

Claim 1 requires a polyester without identifying the exact details of the polyester.

D1 does not explicitly disclose that the shrinkage force ranges from 2N/5cm to 20N/5cm. However, this range of 2N/5cm to 20N/5cm is a normal, customary range for a shrinkage force, i.e. the shrinkage force ranging from 2N/5cm to 20N/5cm is already implicitly disclosed in D1.

Dependent claims 2-7 and 9-14 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the EPC

International application No.
PCT/IB2004/003515

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
novelty and inventive step requirements.		
At present, it is not possible to recognise what part of		
the application could form the basis for a new, allowable		
claim.		